Board of Supervisors Meeting

May 27, 2020

City Comments on Unscheduled Matters and Public Hearings

At that end of each day up to the day before the Board meeting, the clerk shall post all public hearing comments received that day for the public to view. All comments received will be distributed to the Board and posted online for the public to view in advance of the meeting and will be made a part of the Board's official public record within the rules of procedure adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

		, , .	Boara 5 0 ₃)	iciai pablic record within the raies of	procedure adopted by the Board of Supervisors.
	First Name	Last Name	District	Comment Category	Comments
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
4/25/2020	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
5/4/2020	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted	Ortiz	Matoaca	Citizen Comment on Unscheduled Matters	Number 125 15 Dog Kennels. I am against this because this is a development and we have small children walking and riding their bikes. If a dog got loose it could cause a danger to the children. Also the noise level from the dogs barking would be unbearable. The smell would be awful. there could also be the danger of disease from the dogs excrement. Please disapprove this zoning amendment.
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
5/18/2020		Fitzpatrick	Dale	20SN0542 - Cross Tides Corporation	Ms. Mary Beth Fitzpatrick, neighboring property owner at 6805 Greenyard Road, expressed concerns relative to changing the zoning from Neighborhood Business to General Business because the change might interfere with a buyer's options and the development of the property.
5/20/2020	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted No comment submitted				
5/24/2020	No comment submitted				
	No comment submitted				
5/26/2020	Barbara	Roe	Midlothian	195N0612 - Randolph's Pond LLC	Request a deferral for 30-60 days to allow developer to present professional geo-technical reports on the status of the triangular "pond" and the stability of said pond to handle run off water from the 131 townhouse development. No information is forth coming on whether this is just a pond on the edge of a very steep grade or a water filled mine shaft. Public safety should be addressed with respect to keeping all the drainage on this site. This is a unique carnyon-like topgraphy with many interconnecting streams and ponds. *Additionally, the phasing of the three story townhouses with the adjacent two story homes of Sycamore Village needs refinement. A buffer of 20 feet should be expanded to 40 feet with a variety of plantings and decorative trees to break up the stark difference of 3 story at the top of the hill and 2 story below. *There should be no shared driveways with any residential unit. A detached dwelling near the northern property line should be removed. As an observation: This development does not meet the current zoning ordinance or the new MSAP guidelines. Please address the public safety issue by deferring this development to let the developer show you how he is going to handle on site drainage at the edge of the steep embankment.
5/26/2020	Robert	Roe	Midlothian	19SN0612 - Randolph's Pond LLC	My wife and I have reviewed the application and TRC reports for this case and we have questions that must be answered before a vote is taken on this case.: 1. At the PC meeting in April, Andrew Gillies stated that there will be four parking spaces per unit, adding there would be a 2-car garage and room for 2 more cars in each driveway. Is this true for ALL UNITS? If so, how would the developer fit a double garage door and an entrance door in a 19' lot width? This was a critical part of the argument that this project had more than enough parking spaces on site. 2. Given that there is sufficient parking internal to the project, why is it necessary to add a handful of parking spaces on Coalfield Rd2 These spaces would be of little use for the 12 single family homes facing Coalfield, since they have ample parking in the rear. On street parking on Coalfield Road creates a hazardous condition that could easily be eliminated. 3. The TRC notes indicated an issue with the use of vinyl siding as a "quality material". No resolution was indicated in the TRC report. The application indicates vinyl siding will not be allowed. Will vinyl be accepted on this project? 4. The staff reports include a significant discussion of potential storm water drainage issues on this site. The on-site pond is likely an old mine pit filled with water. There appears to be no unlet from this pond and the terrain around the pond is very steep. Storm water runoff cannot be directed to Loch Lothian, so it must be controlled on site. How does the developer plan to handle storm water runoff? And why would you move this project forward without a resolution of this problem? This is a critical case for Midlothian that requires more study. Please do not pass the buck onto staff members who will "be the bad guys" and need to apply the proper laws and regulations that should be looked at BEFORE approving this case. This is what happens so often with this board. Take a strong look at what is being proposed and do not just assume that "we will fix it lat
5/26/2020	Sonia	Smith	Bermuda	20SN0578 - Twin Rivers, LLC	Good evening, Madam Chair, Dr. Casey, and members of the Board. My name is Sonia Smith and as President of the Chesterfield Education Association and a resident of the Bermuda District, I present these comments with great concern. As you all prepare to vote on case number 20SN3078, I must point to what has already taken place in this same area of the county. You all have already approved case number 19SN5017 (Chester Road Group) and case number 20SN317 (Enon, LLC). My concern is that the numbers that you all refer to from the school division is always the same, even after other projects have been approved. When you add up the total "adjusted yield" for each of the schools that will be impacted due to the two projects already approved, and the one that you are considering this evening, here is what will happen First - Enon Elementary School will have a total anticipated yield of eighty-nine students (and they are already 99% program capacity. Second - Elizabeth Davis Middle School will have a total anticipated yield of eighty-nine students (and they are already at 100% program capacity with four trailers). Finally - Thomas Dale High School will have a total anticipated yield of eighty-one students (and they are already at 100% program capacity with four trailers). Finally - Thomas Dale High School will have a total anticipated yield of eighty-one students (and they are currently at 83% capacity). As we look at the future of Chesterfield County and the extraordinary school division that is a magnet for new residents, in interative that we look at the bigger picture. The more housing plans that get accepted, the more children we will need to educate. Housing goes up far quicker than schools. Where will the children be taught? Who will teach them? There is already a shortage of educators in this nation, and by building so much at once, it worries me that when all of these amazing developments are erected, there will be placed on the schools that don't have enough classrooms. Trailers are meant to be temporary, n
5/26/2020	Mike	Uzel	Bermuda	Citizen Comment on Unscheduled Matters	CONTINUED CONCERNS ABOUT HANDLING COMMENTS AT VIRTUAL MEETINGS Public comments have been effectively shut down by the current policy of "summarizing" them at Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors 'virtual' meetings. I normally would have three minutes to state my views on each case, as would every other person who desires to comment. That is not happening now. Why not? Instead, a "summary is read of all comments, for and against. "Summarizing" necessarily means shortening, or eliminating part (or all) of the comments seen the prior to the deadline for the May 19 Planning Commission meeting, expressing serious concerns about case 205N0526 Courthouse Landing, It was not read or even summarized in the meeting, instead, an "expert" from Detal Avalation gave glowing testimony of how the case would not adversely affect the airport. In a real meeting, the letter from Mr. Collins would have been read aloud for all to hear. Instead, NO ONE heard it. It was censored from the meeting, Evitual meetings are not public hearings. Zoning cases should not move forward in this pandemic environment. Is this the procedure we now want in our County? Censorship of Public Comments? Is this America? Please read this in full in your meeting.

Board of Supervisors Meeting

May 27, 2020

Citizen Comments on Unscheduled Matters and Public Hearings

At that end of each day up to the day before the Board meeting, the clerk shall post all public hearing comments received that day for the public to view. All comments received will be distributed to the Board and posted online for the public to view in advance of the meeting and will be made a part of the Board's official public record within the rules of procedure adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Date	First Name	Last Name	District	Comment Category	Comments			
					Dear Sir/Madam,			
					l ask you not to support the Courthouse Landing proposed development as it will significantly reduce the quality of life for the surrounding area and anyone using the			
					R10/R288 intersection.			
					The area is already straining at the seams and a higher level of thinking is needed to solve the problems of the area, but digging a bigger hole to get out of is simply not			
					good business.			
					There is already a high level of Iron Bridge roadway noise audible from within our home. This project would only increase that noise. Entering Iron Bridge from our			
					neighborhood is difficult at times, with cars and dump trucks on Iron Bridge frequently running red lights. The increase in traffic would only make these intersections			
					even more dangerous and potentially deadly.			
					Furthermore, there are plenty of empty storefronts on Iron Bridge in the nearby area, such as the former Walmart and Martin's buildings. These have become			
					eyesores for our area. There is absolutely no need to build more buildings when there are usable buildings sitting vacant.			
					Please support your community by not supporting the Courthouse landing project.			
					Yours sincerely,			
				Citizen Comment on Unscheduled	Jose E. Zepeda, MBA			
5/26/2020	Jose	Zepeda	Dale	Matters	Deerfield Estates Resident			
				Citizen Comment on Unscheduled				
5/26/2020	Taylor	Robb	Dale	Matters	Ms. Taylor Robb, resident of Deerfield Estates, expressed her opposition relative to the proposed Courthouse Landing project.			